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Abbreviations

AGM: General Assembly of the CPMR
EC: European Commission
EP: European Parliament
MEP: Member of the European Parliament
WG: Working Group
PB: Political Bureau of the CPMR

CPMR PP: CPMR Policy Position
TEN-T: Trans-European Transport Network
GC: Geographical Commissions of the CPMR
TRAN: Transport Committee of the European Parliament
CNC: Core Network Corridors
RFC: Rail Freight Corridors
Making the TEN-T fit for the CPMR member Regions.

• Since 2019
• 2021 Policy Position
• 2021 AGM
• Valle Miguélez meeting Valean’ cabinet

Influencing the proposal 2019-2021

Getting ready to influence
Dec 2021 – May 2022

• Preliminary analysis
• Exchanges with EC, EP and FR Presidency
• Discussion in Transport WG & PB
• GC workshops

Influencing May 2022 - 2023

• Meeting key MEPs (rapporteurs and shadows)
• Meeting with Fr Perm Rep
• CPMR PP in June
• TEN-T Days in June
• Amendments to the proposal
In the EP

MEPs

- Riquet (RE) & Thaler (EPP) are rapporteurs
- Dalunde (Greens), Garcia Munoz (SD), Kountoura (GUE) and Vile (ERC) are shadows

Key dates

- Exchange on draft report in TRAN : Week of 29/08/2022
- Amendment deadline draft TRAN report: 13/09/2022
- Exchange on the amendments in TRAN: Week of 28/11/2022
- Adoption TRAN report: Jan 2023
- Adoption Plenary Feb 2023
Our views on TEN-T: A glass half full?

**CPMR messages included**

- New approach to maritime transport
- Broader definition of urban nodes
- Stronger governance
- New milestone in 2040

**Room for improvement**

- Role of the Regions in the governance process
- Feasibility of technical requirements
- How to finance the TEN-T?
- Definition and identification of urban nodes
Enhancing the governance scheme of the TEN-T

Clear improvements
- Coordinators role is reinforced
- Merging CNC and RFC

Major question mark
- Member States position on Article 58 "Alignement of national plans with Union transport policy"

Room for improvement
- Regional authorities are poorly considered in Article 52 (Governance of the Corridors)
Urban nodes: a good idea to be improved

- Methodology to identify urban nodes?
- Relevance of requirements such as setting logistic platforms?
- Capacity to implement requirements in terms of data collecting

Urban nodes location

Maps and analysis here

Density of Urban nodes per NUTS2

Covered area of urban nodes /NUTS2
The extended core network: a clever idea to be enhanced

2040: A realistic milestone

- Allowing to merge CNC and RFC
- Benefit to territorial cohesion

A questionable implementation on the ground

- 2040 lacking ambition for some sections of the extended core
- Missing sections that could be implemented by 2040
Next steps for the CPMR in 2022

June
- Adoption of Policy Position
- Connecting Europe days

Summer
- Drafting CPMR amendments
- Publication of EP Draft Report
- Analysis by General Secretariat

September
- Transport WG Meeting in Brussels
- Amendments to MEPs

Fall and Winter
- Lobbying to MEPs and Council
- Identification of key amendments
- Influence on EP vote