CLLD CONTRIBUTION TO MOBILISATION AND INNOVATION

Towards a CPMR position on the EMFF post-2020

30-31 January 2018, Brussels
Gilles van de Walle
FARNET Support Unit
368 FLAGs IN EUROPE

FLAG budget: €1.9 million average
(€360 000 – €10M)

€700 million total public
(€519 EMFF/ 10% of EMFF)

20 Member States

368 FLAGs
STATE OF PLAY


- EFF Regulation adopted (July 2006)
- EMFF Regulation adopted (May 2014)

Year 0
EFF: 2006
EMFF: 2013

Year 1
EFF: 2007
EMFF: 2014 (1st half)

Year 1
EFF: 2007
EMFF: 2014 (2nd half)

Year 2
EFF: 2008
EMFF: 2015 (1st half)

Year 2
EFF: 2008
EMFF: 2015 (2nd half)

Year 3
EFF: 2009
EMFF: 2016 (1st half)

Year 3
EFF: 2009
EMFF: 2016 (2nd half)

Year 4
EFF: 2010
EMFF: 2017 (1st half)

Year 4
EFF: 2010
EMFF: 2017 (2nd half)

No. of FLAGs 2007-2013
No. of FLAGs 2014-2020

EFF Regulation adopted (July 2006)
EMFF Regulation adopted (May 2014)
EFF/EMFF BUDGETS ALLOCATED TO CLLD BY MS (M€)

Total 2007-2013: 519 M€
Total 2014-2020: 522 M€
NUMBER OF FLAGS BY MS

Total 2007-2013: 312 FLAGs
Total 2014-2020: 368 FLAGs
• Mediterranean

• Inland

• FLAGs increasingly coastal
POPULATION DENSITY

EFF, sample 290 FLAGs
- very low: 41%
- low: 35%
- high: 18%
- very high: 6%

EMFF, sample 175 FLAGs
- very low: 34%
- low: 40%
- high: 18%
- very high: 8%

- FLAG territories mostly rural
- Still 25% of FLAGs located in highly populated areas
BUDGET VS PROJECTS SELECTED (DEC 2017)
**MOBILISATION**

- Data at partnership level not decision board
- 8500+ individuals/associations mobilized in 170 FLAGs (17 000 in total?)
CLLD COORDINATION (169 FLAGS)

- FLAG shares TERRITORY with LEADER/CLLD LAG: 91%
- FLAG shares BOARD MEMBERS with a LEADER/CLLD LAG: 77%
- FLAG shares its LEGAL STRUCTURE with a LEADER/CLLD LAG: 54%
- COMMON local development STRATEGY (with separate sections for EMFF/EAFRD/other ESIF): 44%

From current sample, 1 FLAG out of 2 is also a LAG
### FISHERIES CLLD OVERTIME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fisheries sector</td>
<td>Fisheries sector</td>
<td>Fisheries areas</td>
<td>Integration of fish &amp; aquac sectors in devt of areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring</td>
<td>Restructuring</td>
<td>Sustainable devt</td>
<td>Coasial/maritime devt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversification</td>
<td>Diversification</td>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery</td>
<td>Community initiative</td>
<td>Shared management</td>
<td>Shared management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>FIFG/ERDF/ESF</td>
<td>EFF</td>
<td>EMFF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>ECU 250 million</td>
<td>EUR 520 million</td>
<td>EUR 520 million</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local del.</td>
<td>Various models (incl. Pesca groups) (#?)</td>
<td>FLAGs (312)</td>
<td>FLAGs (368)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
<td>Limited (both EU and national)</td>
<td>FARNET+13 NN (# MA meetings: 13)</td>
<td>FARNET + 19 NN (# MA meetings: 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LEADER II**

**LEADER +**

**Axis 4 LEADER**

**CLLD**
How to ensure efficient and effective use of limited resources?

How to preserve fisheries and aquaculture CLLD social capital?

What is the added value of EU local intervention in fisheries and aquaculture areas?
Any questions?

Gilles.Vandewalle@farnet.eu